arXiv:1701.02841v2 [math.AG] 23 Mar 2017

CHARACTERISTIC CLASS AND THE ε-FACTOR OF AN ÉTALE SHEAF

NAOYA UMEZAKI, ENLIN YANG, AND YIGENG ZHAO

Abstract. Recently, the singular support and the characteristic cycle of a constructible sheaf on a smooth variety over an arbitrary perfect field are constructed by Beilinson [2] and Saito [14] respectively. Saito also defines the characteristic class of a constructible sheaf as the intersection of the characteristic cycle and the zero section of the cotangent bundle.

In this paper, based on their theory, we prove a twist formula for the ε-factor of a constructible sheaf on a projective smooth variety over a finite field in terms of characteristic class of the sheaf. This formula was conjectured by Kato and Saito in [8, Conjecture 4.3.11].

As a corollary of our formula, we prove that the characteristic classes of constructible étale sheaves on projective smooth varieties over a finite field are compatible with proper push-forward.

1. Introduction

Let k be a finite field of characteristic p and let $f\colon X \to \mathrm{Spec} k$ be a smooth projective scheme purely of dimension d. Let Λ be a finite field of characteristic $\ell \neq p$ or $\Lambda = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$. For a constructible complex $\mathcal{F}$ of Λ-modules on X, let $D(\mathcal{F})$ be the dual $R\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{K}_X)$ of $\mathcal{F}$ where $\mathcal{K}_X = Rf^!\Lambda$ is the dualizing complex. Let $\chi(X_{\bar{k}}, \mathcal{F})$ be the Euler characteristic of $\mathcal{F}$. The L-function $L(X, \mathcal{F}, t)$ satisfies the following functional equation

$$L(X, \mathcal{F}, t) = \varepsilon(X, \mathcal{F}) \cdot t^{-\chi(X_{\bar{k}}, \mathcal{F})} \cdot L(X, D(\mathcal{F}), t^{-1}), \tag{1.0.1}$$

where

$$\varepsilon(X, \mathcal{F}) = \det(-\mathrm{Frob}_k; R\Gamma(X_{\bar{k}}, \mathcal{F}))^{-1} \tag{1.0.2}$$

is the epsilon factor (the constant term of the functional equation (1.0.1)). Let $cc_X\mathcal{F} \in CH_0(X)$ be the characteristic class of $\mathcal{F}$ defined in [14, Definition 5.7] using the characteristic cycle $CC\mathcal{F}$ of $\mathcal{F}$. Let $\bar{x}$ be a geometric point of X. The reciprocity map $CH_0(X) \to \pi_1(X, \bar{x})^{\mathrm{ab}}$ is defined by sending the class $[s]$ of a closed point $s \in X$ to the geometric Frobenius Frob_s. Let ρ be a continuous representation of $\pi_1(X, \bar{x})$ over Λ of finite dimension. We also denote by $\det\rho\colon CH_0(X) \to \Lambda^\times$ the composition of $\det\rho$ and the reciprocity map $CH_0(X) \to \pi_1(X, \bar{x})^{\mathrm{ab}}$.

In this paper, we prove the following Theorem 1.1, which is conjectured by Kato and T. Saito in [8, Conjecture 4.3.11]. We note that their formula is written in terms of the Swan class of $\mathcal{F}$.

Theorem 1.1 (see Theorem 5.5)**.** *We have*

$$\det\rho(-cc_X\mathcal{F}) = \frac{\varepsilon(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes \rho)}{\varepsilon(X, \mathcal{F})^{\dim\rho}}. \tag{1.1.1}$$

When $\mathcal{F}$ is the constant sheaf Λ, this is proved by S. Saito [12]. If $\mathcal{F}$ is a smooth sheaf on an open dense subscheme U of X such that the complement $D = X - U$ is a simple normal crossing divisor and the sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ is tamely ramified along D, then Theorem 1.1 is a consequence of [13, Theorem 1]. If $\dim X = 1$, the

Date: March 24, 2017.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14F20; Secondary 11G25, 11S40.

formula (1.1.1) follows from the product formula of Deligne and Laumon, cf. [4, 7.11] and [9, 3.2.1.1]. In [18, 19], Vidal proved a similar result on a proper smooth surface over a finite field of characteristic $p > 2$ under one of the following two assumptions:

(1) the sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ is smooth of rank 1 on an open dense subscheme $U \subset X$ such that the corresponding character is of order np with $(n, p) = 1$ and $D = X - U$ is a simple normal crossing divisor;
(2) the wild ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is totally non-fierce, cf. [19, Théorème 2.2].

In [3], Beilinson developed the theory of topological epsilon factors using K-theory spectrum. Let R be a commutative ring. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a perfect constructible complex of sheaves of R-modules on a compact real analytic manifold M. In loc.cit., he gaved a Dubson-Kashiwara-style description of $\det R\Gamma(M, \mathcal{F})$, and he asked that whether his construction admits a motivic (ℓ-adic or de Rham) counterpart. For de Rham cohomology, such a construction is given by Patel in [10, 11]. Based on [10, 11], Abe and Patel proved a similar twist formula in [1] for global de Rham epsilon factors in the classical setting of $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules on smooth projective varieties over a field of characteristic zero. For ℓ-adic cohomology, Beilinson's question is still open.

As a corollary of Theorem 1.1, we prove the following compatibility of characteristic class with proper push-forward by using the injectivity of the reciprocity map [7, Theorem 1].

Corollary 1.2 (see Corollary 5.6)**.** *Let $f : X \to Y$ be a proper map between smooth projective connected schemes over a finite field k and let $\mathcal{F}$ be a constructible complex of Λ-modules on X. Then we have an equality in $CH_0(Y)$:*

$$f_*(cc_X\mathcal{F}) = cc_Y Rf_*\mathcal{F}. \tag{1.2.1}$$

In general, T. Saito conjectures that the characteristic cycle (resp. characteristic class) should be compatible with proper push-forward, cf. [14, 7.2] and [15, Conjecture 1]. Just after writing this paper, T. Saito announced a proof of the functoriality for proper push-forward of the characteristic cycles of constructible complexes for projective morphisms of smooth schemes over a perfect field, under the assumption that the direct image of the singular support has dimension at most that of the target of the morphism.

As another application, we show that the two Swan classes in the literature are the same on proper smooth surfaces over a finite field. Let X be a proper smooth and connected scheme over a perfect field k. Let $j\colon U \to X$ be an open dense sub-scheme of X and $\mathcal{F} \in D_c^b(U, \Lambda)$ a smooth complex of Λ-modules on U. In [8], Kato and T. Saito defined the Swan class $\mathrm{Sw}_X^{\mathrm{ks}}(\mathcal{F}) \in \mathrm{CH}_0(X - U) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ by using logarithmic product and alteration. Later in [14, Definition 6.7.3], T. Saito defined another Swan class $\mathrm{Sw}_X^{\mathrm{cc}}(\mathcal{F})$ by using characteristic cycle, cf. (6.1.1).

Corollary 1.3 (see Corollary 6.7)**.** *Let X be a proper smooth and connected surface over a finite field k. Let U be an open dense sub-scheme of X and $\mathcal{F} \in D_c^b(U, \Lambda)$ a smooth complex of Λ-modules on U. Then we have an equality in $CH_0(X)$:*

$$\mathrm{Sw}_X^{\mathrm{ks}}(\mathcal{F}) = -\mathrm{Sw}_X^{\mathrm{cc}}(\mathcal{F}). \tag{1.3.1}$$

The proof of Corollary 1.3 also works for higher dimensional smooth schemes if we assume resolution of singularities and a special case of proper push-forward of characteristic class. For the details, see Theorem 6.6.

Here is a rough idea of the proof of Theorem 1.1. We follow a similar strategy as S. Saito's proof in [12]. By taking a good pencil, we prove Theorem 1.1 by induction on the dimension of X. By using the product formula of Deligne and Laumon and Proposition 5.4, it is reduced to computing local contributions on both sides. In order to calculate local contributions, we use the Milnor formula (5.1.1) for characteristic cycle.

For the proof of Corollary 1.3, by using Brauer induction theorem and Corollary 1.2, we first reduce the proof to the case where $\mathcal{F}$ is of rank 1 and $\mathcal{F}$ is trivialized by a cyclic covering of Galois group G. The

essential case is when G is a cyclic group of order p. In that case, for two characters χ and χ' of G, if they have the same order, then they have the same characteristic cycle by a result of T. Saito and Yatagawa, cf. [16, Theorem 0.1]. Using their theorem, we can reduce the proof to a very special case where $\mathcal{F} = f_*\Lambda$ and $f\colon V \to U$ is an étale covering. At last, a direct calculation proves Corollary 1.3.

The content of each section is as follows. In Section 2, we review the theory of local constants and the product formula of Deligne and Laumon. In Section 3, we prove three lemmas on cycles on the cotangent bundle. The proof of Proposition 5.4 is based on that section. In Section 4, we start with a review of Saito and Yatagawa's result on pencil. Then we prove the existence of good pencil in Lemma 4.2. In Section 5, we first recall the definitions and properties of singular support and characteristic cycle. Then we prove Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.2. In Section 6, we prove Corollary 1.3.

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to express their gratitude to Takeshi Saito for inspiring discussion and carefully reading our manuscript. The second author thanks Ahmed Abbes for pointing out Vidal's work [18, 19] to him during a visit at IHES. The second author is partially supported by Alexander von Humboldt Foundation for his research at Universität Regensburg and Freie Universität Berlin. Both the second and the third authors are partially supported by the DFG through CRC 1085 *Higher Invariants* (Universität Regensburg). The authors are grateful to these institutions.

Notation and Conventions. Let p be a prime number. Let Λ be a finite field of characteristic $\ell \neq p$ or $\Lambda = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$. In this paper, a smooth sheaf means a locally constant constructible sheaf of Λ-modules when Λ is a finite field. For a scheme S over $\mathbb{Z}[1/\ell]$, let $D^b_c(S,\Lambda)$ be the derived category of constructible complexes of Λ-modules with bounded cohomologies on S and let $K(S,\Lambda)$ be the Grothendieck group of $D^b_c(S,\Lambda)$. For a pro-finite group G, we denote by $K(G,\Lambda)$ the Grothendieck group of the category of continuous representations of G over Λ. For a scheme X, we denote by $|X|$ the set of closed points of X.

2. Local constants

In this section, we review the theory of local constants and the product formula of Deligne and Laumon. Let K be a complete discrete valuation field with finite residue field k of characteristic p. Let $\mathcal{O}_K$ be its integer ring and let $S = \mathrm{Spec}\mathcal{O}_K$. Let $\overline{K}$ be a separable closure of K and $G_K = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ the Galois group of $\overline{K}$ over K. Let $s \in S$ be the closed point and let $\eta \in S$ be the generic point. For a Λ-valued non-trivial additive character ψ of K, a Λ-valued Haar measure dx on K and a continuous representation V of G_K over Λ, the local constant $\varepsilon_0(V,\psi,dx) \in \Lambda^\times$ is defined in [4, Théorème 4.1]. For a constructible complex $\mathcal{F}$ of Λ-modules on S, we define

$$\varepsilon(\mathcal{F},\psi,dx) := \det(-\mathrm{Frob}_k;\mathcal{F}_{\bar{s}})^{-1}\cdot\varepsilon_0(\mathcal{F}_{\bar{\eta}},\psi,dx). \tag{2.0.1}$$

These local constants ε_0 and ε are multiplicative by Lemma 2.1 below. Therefore, they induce group homomorphisms $K(G_K,\Lambda)\to\Lambda^\times$ and $K(S,\Lambda)\to\Lambda^\times$ respectively.

Lemma 2.1 ([4, Théorème 4.1])**.**

(1) *For an exact sequence* $0\to V'\to V\to V''\to 0$ *of representations of* G_K*, we have*

$$\varepsilon_0(V,\psi,dx) = \varepsilon_0(V',\psi,dx)\cdot\varepsilon_0(V'',\psi,dx). \tag{2.1.1}$$

(2) *For a distinguished triangle* $\mathcal{F}'\to\mathcal{F}\to\mathcal{F}''\to\mathcal{F}'[1]$ *in* $D^b_c(S,\Lambda)$*, we have*

$$\varepsilon(\mathcal{F},\psi,dx) = \varepsilon(\mathcal{F}',\psi,dx)\cdot\varepsilon(\mathcal{F}'',\psi,dx). \tag{2.1.2}$$

We define the *total dimension* $\mathrm{dimtot}(V)$ of a representation V of G_K as $\mathrm{rank}(V)+\mathrm{Sw}(V)$, where $\mathrm{Sw}(V)$ is the Swan conductor of V [17, 19.3]. For $\mathcal{F}\in D^b_c(S,\Lambda)$, $\mathrm{dimtot}(\mathcal{F})$ is defined to be $\mathrm{dimtot}(\mathcal{F}_{\bar{\eta}})$. For a non-trivial additive character ψ of K, let $n(\psi)$ be the maximal integer n such that ψ is trivial on $\pi_K^{-n}\mathcal{O}_K$, where π_K is a prime element in K.

Lemma 2.2. (1) *Let $V \in K(G_K, \Lambda)$ and let W be an unramified representation of G_K over Λ. Then we have*

$$\varepsilon_0(V \otimes W, \psi, dx) = \det(\mathrm{Frob}_k; W)^{\mathrm{dimtot}(V)+\mathrm{rank}(V)n(\psi)} \cdot \varepsilon_0(V, \psi, dx)^{\mathrm{rank}(W)}. \tag{2.2.1}$$

(2) *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a smooth virtual complex of Λ-modules on S of rank 0. Then we have*

$$\varepsilon(\mathcal{F}, \psi, dx) = \det(\mathrm{Frob}_k; \mathcal{F}_{\bar{s}})^{n(\psi)}. \tag{2.2.2}$$

(3) *Let $\mathcal{F} \in K(S, \Lambda)$ such that $\mathcal{F}_{\bar{s}}$ is of rank 0. Assume that $n(\psi) = 0$. Then we have*

$$\varepsilon(\mathcal{F}, \psi, dx) = \varepsilon_0(\mathcal{F}_{\bar{s}}, \psi, dx)^{-1} \cdot \varepsilon_0(\mathcal{F}_{\bar{\eta}}, \psi, dx). \tag{2.2.3}$$

Here, we regard $\mathcal{F}_{\bar{s}}$ as the generic fiber of the virtual complex on S defined by the action of $\pi_1(S, \bar{s})$ on the stalk $\mathcal{F}_{\bar{s}}$.

Proof. (1) The formula (2.2.1) is proved in [4, 5.5.3].

(2) By the formula (2.2.1) and the smoothness of $\mathcal{F}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon(\mathcal{F}, \psi, dx) &= \det(-\mathrm{Frob}_k; \mathcal{F}_{\bar{s}})^{-1} \cdot \varepsilon_0(\mathcal{F}_{\bar{\eta}}, \psi, dx) \\ &= \det(-\mathrm{Frob}_k; \mathcal{F}_{\bar{s}})^{-1} \cdot \varepsilon_0(\Lambda, \psi, dx)^{\mathrm{rank}\mathcal{F}_{\bar{\eta}}} \cdot \det(\mathrm{Frob}_k; \mathcal{F}_{\bar{s}})^{1+n(\psi)}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathrm{rank}\mathcal{F} = \mathrm{rank}\mathcal{F}_{\bar{\eta}} = 0$, we have $\det(-\mathrm{Frob}_k; \mathcal{F}_{\bar{s}}) = \det(\mathrm{Frob}_k; \mathcal{F}_{\bar{s}})$, which proves the formula (2.2.2).

(3) By the formula (2.2.2), we have

$$\varepsilon(\mathcal{F}_{\bar{s}}, \psi, dx) = 1.$$

Taking the ratio of

$$\varepsilon(\mathcal{F}, \psi, dx) = \det(-\mathrm{Frob}_k; \mathcal{F}_{\bar{s}})^{-1} \cdot \varepsilon_0(\mathcal{F}_{\bar{\eta}}, \psi, dx)$$

and

$$\varepsilon(\mathcal{F}_{\bar{s}}, \psi, dx) = \det(-\mathrm{Frob}_k; \mathcal{F}_{\bar{s}})^{-1} \cdot \varepsilon_0(\mathcal{F}_{\bar{s}}, \psi, dx),$$

we finish the proof.

□

Now, let us recall Deligne and Laumon's product formula. Let X be a smooth projective geometrically connected curve over a finite field k, let K be the function field of X and let $\psi_0 \colon k \to \Lambda^\times$ be a non-trivial additive character. Let ω be a non-zero rational 1-form on X. It is well-known that ω defines a non-trivial additive character ψ_ω on $\mathbb{A}_K/K$. Its local component at $v \in |X|$ is the $\Lambda^\times$-valued function defined by $(\psi_\omega)_v(a) = \psi_0(\mathrm{Tr}_{k(v)/k}(\mathrm{res}_v(a\omega)))$ for $a \in K_v$. The number $n((\psi_\omega)_v)$ equals to the order $\mathrm{ord}_v(\omega)$ of the differential form. Let $dx = \otimes_{v\in|X|} dx_v$ be the Haar measure on $\mathbb{A}_K$ with values in Λ such that $\int_{O_{K_v}} dx = 1$ for every place v.

Let us define a group homomorphism $K(X, \Lambda) \to \Lambda^\times$ by

$$\mathcal{F} \mapsto \varepsilon(X, \mathcal{F}) = \det(-\mathrm{Frob}_k; R\Gamma(X_{\bar{k}}, \mathcal{F}))^{-1}.$$

A virtual complex $\mathcal{F}$ also defines the local constants $\varepsilon(\mathcal{F}_{(v)}, (\psi_\omega)_v, dx_v)$ by taking its restriction $\mathcal{F}_{(v)}$ to the henselisation $X_{(v)}$ at a closed point $v \in X$. They satisfy the following product formula, which is proved by Deligne [4, 7.11] and Laumon [9, 3.2.1.1].

Theorem 2.3 (Deligne and Laumon)**.** *Let k be a finite field with q elements. Let X be a smooth projective geometrically connected curve over k of genus g, let ω be a non-zero rational 1-form on X and let $\mathcal{F} \in K(X, \Lambda)$. Then we have*

$$\varepsilon(X, \mathcal{F}) = q^{(1-g)\mathrm{rank}(\mathcal{F})} \prod_{v\in|X|} \varepsilon(\mathcal{F}_{(v)}, (\psi_\omega)_v, dx_v). \tag{2.3.1}$$

3. Preliminaries on cycles

In this section, we prove three lemmas on cycles on the cotangent bundle, which will be used in the proof of Proposition 5.4 and Theorem 1.1. Let X be a smooth scheme purely of dimension d over a field k. In this paper, we denote by $T^*_X X \subseteq T^*X$ the zero section of the cotangent bundle T^*X. We say that a closed subset $C \subset T^*X$ is *conical* if it is stable under the action of the multiplicative group $\mathbb{G}_m$.

Definition 3.1. *Let X be a smooth scheme purely of dimension d over a field k. Let W and Y be smooth schemes over k. Let C be a conical closed subset of T^*X.*

(1) *Let $h\colon W \to X$ be a morphism over k. We define $h^*C = C \times_X W \subset T^*X \times_X W$. We say that h is C-transversal at $w \in W$ if the fiber $\left(h^*C \cap dh^{-1}(T^*_W W)\right) \times_W w$ is contained in the zero-section $T^*_X X \times_X W \subset T^*X \times_X W$, where $dh\colon T^*X \times_X W \to T^*W$ is the canonical map. We say that h is C-transversal if h is C-transversal at every $w \in W$.*

If h is C-transversal, we define $h^\circ C$ to be the image of $C \times_X W$ under the map $dh\colon T^*X \times_X W \to T^*W$. By [14, Lemma 3.1]*, $h^\circ C$ is a closed conical subset of T^*W.*

(2) *Assume that C is purely of dimension d and that W is purely of dimension m. We say that a C-transversal map $h\colon W \to X$ is properly C-transversal if every irreducible component of $h^*C = C \times_X W$ is of dimension m.*

(3) *We say that a morphism $f\colon X \to Y$ over k is C-transversal at $x \in X$ if the inverse image $df^{-1}(C) \times_X x$ is contained in the zero-section $T^*_Y Y \times_Y X \subset T^*Y \times_Y X$, where $df\colon T^*Y \times_Y X \to T^*X$ is the canonical map. We say that f is C-transversal if f is C-transversal at every $x \in X$.*

We say that a closed point $x \in X$ is at most an isolated characteristic point of f with respect to C if the restriction $f|_{X-\{x\}}\colon X - \{x\} \to Y$ is C-transversal.

Let $C \subset T^*X$ be a conical closed subset purely of dimension d, let Z be a d-cycle supported on C and $h\colon W \to X$ a properly C-transversal morphism. We define a pull-back h^*Z to be $dh_*(1 \times h)^*Z$, where $1 \times h\colon T^*X \times_X W \to T^*X$ is the projection map. Notice that the push-forward is well-defined since dh is finite on $(1 \times h)^*C$ by [2, Lemma 1.2 (ii)].

For a d-cycle $Z \in Z_d(T^*X)$, the pull-back $(Z, T^*_X X)_{T^*X}$ of Z by the zero section $T^*_X X$ of T^*X is a cycle class in $CH_0(X)$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let X be a smooth projective connected scheme over k and let $i\colon Y \hookrightarrow X$ be a closed immersion from a smooth connected scheme Y. Let $\pi\colon \tilde{X} \to X$ be the blow up along Y. Let $C \subset T^*X$ be a conical closed subset purely of dimension $d = \dim X$ and let Z be a d-cycle supported on C. Suppose π and i are properly C-transversal. Then we have an equality in $CH_0(X)$:*

$$\pi_*(\pi^*Z, T^*_{\tilde{X}}\tilde{X})_{T^*\tilde{X}} = (Z, T^*_X X)_{T^*X} + i_*(i^*Z, T^*_Y Y)_{T^*Y}. \tag{3.2.1}$$

Proof. Let E be the exceptional divisor of the blow up $\pi\colon \tilde{X} \to X$. Let K denote the kernel of the map $d\pi\colon E \times_X T^*X \to E \times_{\tilde{X}} T^*\tilde{X}$. We note that K is a line bundle on E. The pull-back of the zero section by $d\pi\colon T^*X \times_X \tilde{X} \to T^*\tilde{X}$ is equal to $\tilde{X} \times_X T^*_X X + K$. Taking product with the pull-back of Z by $1 \times \pi\colon T^*X \times_X \tilde{X} \to T^*X$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\pi^*Z, T_{\tilde{X}}\tilde{X})_{T^*\tilde{X}} &= ((1 \times \pi)^*Z, d\pi^*(T^*_{\tilde{X}}\tilde{X}))_{T^*X \times_X \tilde{X}} \\ &= ((1 \times \pi)^*Z, \tilde{X} \times_X T^*_X X)_{T^*X \times_X \tilde{X}} + ((1 \times \pi)^*Z, K)_{T^*X \times_X \tilde{X}}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.2.2}$$

By the projection formula, we have $\pi_*((1 \times \pi)^*Z\tilde{X} \times_X T^*_X X)_{T^*X \times_X \tilde{X}} = (Z, T^*_X X)_{T^*X}$. By the excess intersection formula, we have $\pi_*((1 \times \pi)^*Z, K)_{T^*X \times_X \tilde{X}} = \deg(T^*_E \tilde{X})(i^*Z, T^*_Y Y)_{T^*Y} = (i^*Z, T^*_Y Y)_{T^*Y}$. Combined with formula (3.2.2), we finish the proof. □

Definition 3.3. *Let X be a smooth scheme purely of dimension d over k and let Y be a smooth connected curve over k. Let $C \subset T^*X$ be a conical closed subset purely of dimension d. We say that $f\colon X \to Y$ is a good fibration with respect to C if it satisfies the following properties:*

(1) *There exist finitely many closed points $u_1, \dots, u_m$ of X such that f is C-transversal on $X\backslash\{u_1, \dots, u_m\}$. We call such closed points $u_1, \dots, u_m$ isolated characteristic points of f with respect to C.*
(2) *If $u_i \neq u_j$, then $f(u_i) \neq f(u_j)$.*
(3) *The point u_i is purely inseparable over $f(u_i)$ for $i = 1, \cdots, m$.*

If f only satisfies the conditions (1) and (2), then we say f is a pre-good fibration with respect to C.

Let $f\colon X \to Y$ be a good fibration with respect to C and let $u_1, \dots, u_m$ be its isolated characteristic points. Let $v_1, \dots, v_n$ be the closed points of Y such that the fiber X_{v_i} contains the base $C_a \cap T^*_X X$ of an irreducible component C_a of C. If f is C-transversal at a closed point $u \in X$, then the closed immersion $X_{f(u)} \to X$ is C-transversal at u by [14, Lemma 3.9]. Hence for every closed point v of the complement $Y' = Y\backslash\{f(u_1), \dots, f(u_m), v_1 \dots, v_n\}$, the closed immersion $X_v \to X$ of the fiber is properly C-transversal.

Let X be a smooth scheme purely of dimension d over k and $C \subset T^*X$ a closed conical subset. Let $f\colon X \to Y$ be a morphism to a smooth connected curve Y over k. Let $u \in X$ be at most an isolated characteristic point of f with respect to C and ω a basis of T^*Y on a neighborhood of $f(u) \in Y$. The intersection of an irreducible component C_a of C and $f^*\omega$ consists of at most one isolated point $f^*(\omega) \in T^*_u X$ on the fiber of u. Hence the intersection numbers $(C_a, f^*\omega)_{T^*X,u}$ are well-defined and they are independent of the choice of the basis ω, cf. [14, Section 5.2].

Lemma 3.4. *Let X be a smooth scheme purely of dimension d over k and let $C \subset T^*X$ be a conical closed subset purely of dimension d and let Z be a cycle supported on C. Let $f\colon X \to Y$ be a good fibration to a smooth connected curve Y over k with respect to C and let $u_1, \dots, u_m \in X$ be the isolated characteristic points of f with respect to C. Let ω be a non-zero rational 1-form on Y which has neither poles nor zeros at $f(u_1), \dots, f(u_m)$ such that for all closed point $v \in Y$ with $\mathrm{ord}_v(\omega) \neq 0$, X_v is smooth and $i_v\colon X_v \to X$ is properly C-transversal.*

Then we have an equality in $CH_0(X)$:

$$(Z, T^*_X X)_{T^*X} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} (Z, f^*\omega)_{T^*X,u_i} + \sum_{v\in|Y|} \mathrm{ord}_v(\omega) \cdot i_{v,*}(i_v^* Z, T^*_{X_v} X_v)_{T^*X_v}, \tag{3.4.1}$$

where $i_{v,*}\colon CH_0(X_v) \to CH_0(X)$ is the canonical homomorphism.

Proof. The rational 1-form ω defines a rational section $\omega : Y \to T^*Y$. The cycle class $[\omega]$ defined by the image of ω satisfies

$$[T^*_Y Y] = [\omega] + \sum_{v\in|Y|} \mathrm{ord}_v(\omega)[T^*_v Y]$$

in $CH_1(T^*Y)$. Pulling back this cycle by $(f \times 1)\colon X \times_Y T^*Y \to T^*Y$, we have

$$[X \times_Y T^*_Y Y] = [(f \times 1)^*\omega] + \sum_{v\in|Y|} \mathrm{ord}_v(\omega)[X \times_Y T^*_v Y] \tag{3.4.2}$$

in $CH_d(X \times_Y T^*Y)$, where $(f \times 1)^*\omega$ is the image of the map $X \to X \times_Y T^*Y$ induced by ω. Taking intersection product with $df^*(Z)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(df^*(Z), X \times_Y T^*_Y Y)_{X\times_Y T^*_Y Y} &= (df^*(Z), (f \times 1)^*\omega)_{X\times_Y T^*_Y Y} \\ &\quad + \sum_{v\in|Y|} \mathrm{ord}_v(\omega)(df^*(Z), X \times_Y T^*_v Y)_{X\times_Y T^*_Y Y}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.4.3}$$

Then, it is enough to show that the equations (3.4.1) and (3.4.3) coincide. By transitivity of the pull-back, we have $(Z, T^*_X X)_{T^*X} = (df^*(Z), X \times_Y T^*_Y Y)_{X\times_Y T^*Y}$ and $(df^*(Z), (f\times 1)^*\omega)_{X\times_Y T^*Y} = (Z, f^*\omega)_{T^*X}$ in $CH_0(X)$. Since f is assumed to have isolated characteristic points at $u_1, \dots, u_m$,

$$(Z, f^*\omega) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} (Z, f^*\omega)_{T^*X, u_i}.$$

For a closed point $v \in Y$ such that $\mathrm{ord}_v(\omega) \neq 0$, the morphism f is smooth over $v \in Y$. Hence the map $df\colon X \times_Y T^*Y \to T^*X$ induces an isomorphism $X \times_Y T^*_v Y \cong T^*_{X_v} X$ at a closed point $v \in Y$ such that $\mathrm{ord}_v(\omega) \neq 0$ and we have

$$\mathrm{ord}_v(\omega)(df^*(Z), X \times_Y T^*_v Y)_{X\times_Y T^*Y} = \mathrm{ord}_v(\omega)(Z, T^*_{X_v} X)_{T^*X}$$

in $CH_0(X)$. Since i_v is properly C-transversal, we get $(i_v^* Z, T^*_{X_v} X_v) = (Z, T^*_{X_v} X)$. Hence we also have $(i_v^* Z, T^*_{X_v} X_v) = (df^*(Z), T^*_X X \times_X X_v)$, which proves the equation (3.4.1). □

4. Existence of good pencil

The existence of a pre-good pencil is proved by Saito and Yatagawa [16]. We first recall their result and we follow the same notation as in [16, Section 2] and [14, Section 3]. Let X be a smooth projective scheme purely of dimension d over a field k. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a very ample invertible $\mathcal{O}_X$-module and let $E \subset \Gamma(X, \mathcal{L})$ be a sub k-vector space defining a closed immersion $i\colon X \to \mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}(E^\vee) = \mathrm{Proj}_k S^\bullet E$. The dual projective space $\mathbb{P}^\vee = P(E)$ parametrizes hyperplanes in $\mathbb{P}$. The universal hyperplane $Q = \{(x, H) \mid x \in H\} \subset \mathbb{P} \times_k \mathbb{P}^\vee$ can be identified with the covariant projective space bundle $\mathbb{P}(T^*\mathbb{P})$, cf. [14, Subsection 3.2]. We also identify the universal family of hyperplane sections $X \times_{\mathbb{P}} Q$ with $\mathbb{P}(X \times_{\mathbb{P}} T^*\mathbb{P})$.

For a line $L \subset \mathbb{P}^\vee$, let A_L be the axis $\bigcap_{t\in L} H_t$ of L. We define $p_L\colon X_L = \{(x, H_t) \mid x \in X \cap H_t,\ t \in L\} \to L$ by the cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_L & \longrightarrow & X \times_{\mathbb{P}} Q \\ {\scriptstyle p_L}\downarrow & & \downarrow \\ L & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{P}^\vee. \end{array} \tag{4.0.1}$$

The projection $\pi_L\colon X_L \to X$ induces an isomorphism on the complement $X^\circ_L = X - X \cap A_L$. Let $p^\circ_L\colon X^\circ_L \to L$ be the restriction of p_L. If A_L meets X transversally, then $\pi_L\colon X_L \to X$ is the blow-up of X along $X \cap A_L$ and hence X_L is smooth over k.

Let $C \subset T^*X$ be a closed conical subset purely of dimension d. Let $\widetilde{C}$ be the inverse image by the surjection $di\colon X \times_{\mathbb{P}} T^*\mathbb{P} \to T^*X$. We consider the following conditions.

(E) For every pair (u, v) of distinct closed points of the base change $X_{\bar{k}}$ to an algebraic closure $\bar{k}$ of k, the restriction
$$E \otimes_k \bar{k} \subset \Gamma(X, \mathcal{L}) \otimes_k \bar{k} \to \mathcal{L}_u/\mathfrak{m}_u^2\mathcal{L}_u \oplus \mathcal{L}_v/\mathfrak{m}_v^2\mathcal{L}_v$$
is surjective.

(C) For every irreducible component C_a of C, the inverse image $\widetilde{C}_a \subset \widetilde{C}$ of C_a by the surjection $di\colon X \times_{\mathbb{P}} T^*\mathbb{P} \to T^*X$ is not contained in the 0-section $X \times_{\mathbb{P}} T^*_{\mathbb{P}}\mathbb{P}$.

By [14, Lemma 3.19], after replacing $\mathcal{L}$ and E by $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}$ and the image $E^{(n)}$ of $E^{\otimes n} \to \Gamma(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n})$ for $n \geqq 3$ if necessary, the condition (E) and (C) are satisfied. For each irreducible component C_a of C, we regard the projectivization $\mathbb{P}(\widetilde{C}_a)$ of $\widetilde{C}_a \subset \widetilde{C}$ as a closed subset of $\mathbb{P}(\widetilde{C}) \subset \mathbb{P}(X \times_{\mathbb{P}} T^*\mathbb{P}) = X \times_{\mathbb{P}} Q$.

The existence of a pre-good pencil is proved in [16, Lemma 2.3].

Lemma 4.1 ([16, Lemma 2.3])**.** *Let X be a smooth projective scheme purely of dimension n over an algebraically closed field k. Let $C \subset T^*X$ be a closed conical subset purely of dimension n. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a very ample invertible $\mathcal{O}_X$-module and $E \subset \Gamma(X, \mathcal{L})$ a sub k-vector space satisfying the conditions* (E) *and* (C)*. Let $\mathbf{G} = \mathrm{Gr}(1, \mathbb{P}^\vee)$ be the Grassmannian variety parameterizing lines in $\mathbb{P}^\vee$. Then, there exists a dense open subset $U \subset \mathbf{G}$ consisting of lines $L \subset \mathbb{P}^\vee$ satisfying the following conditions* (1)–(6)*:*

1. *The axis A_L meets X transversally and the immersion $X \cap A_L \to X$ is C-transversal.*
2. *The blow-up $\pi_L \colon X_L \to X$ is C-transversal.*
3. *The morphism $p_L \colon X_L \to L$ is a pre-good fibration.*
4. *No isolated characteristic point of p_L is contained in the inverse image by $\pi_L \colon X_L \to X$ of the intersection $X \cap A_L$.*
5. *For every irreducible component C_a of C, the intersection $X_L \cap \mathbb{P}(\widetilde{C}_a)$ is non-empty.*
6. *For every pair of irreducible components $C_a \neq C_b$ of C, the intersection $X_L \cap \mathbb{P}(\widetilde{C}_a) \cap \mathbb{P}(\widetilde{C}_b)$ is empty.*

We say that a line $L \subset \mathbb{P}^\vee$ is a pre-good pencil with respect to C if L satisfies the conditions (1)–(6) in the above Lemma. If L is a pre-good pencil, then X_L is a smooth scheme and $p_L \colon X_L \to L$ is a pre-good fibration.

Lemma 4.2. *Let k be an infinite field. Let X be a smooth projective scheme purely of dimension n over k. Let $C \subset T^*X$ be a closed conical subset purely of dimension n. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a very ample invertible $\mathcal{O}_X$-module and $E \subset \Gamma(X, \mathcal{L})$ a sub k-vector space satisfying the conditions* (E) *and* (C)*. Let $\mathbf{G} = \mathrm{Gr}(1, \mathbb{P}^\vee)$ be the Grassmannian variety parameterizing lines in $\mathbb{P}^\vee$. Then, there exists a dense open subset $U \subset \mathbf{G}$ consisting of lines $L \subset \mathbb{P}^\vee$ satisfying the following conditions* (1)–(5)*:*

1. *L is a pre-good pencil. Let $u_1, \ldots, u_m$ be the isolated characteristic points of $p_L \colon X_L \to X$ with respect to $\pi_L^\circ C$.*
2. *The point u_i is purely inseparable over $p_L(u_i)$ for all $i = 1, \cdots, m$.*
3. *The immersion $i \colon X \cap A_L \to X$ is properly C-transversal.*
4. *The blow up $\pi_L \colon X_L \to X$ along A_L is properly C-transversal.*

We say that a line $L \subset \mathbb{P}^\vee$ is a good pencil with respect to C if L satisfies the conditions (1)–(4) in the above Lemma. If L is a good pencil, then X_L is a smooth scheme and $p_L \colon X_L \to L$ is a good fibration.

Proof. Suppose that k is algebraically closed. In this case, the condition (2) is trivial. Let $U \subset \mathbf{G}$ be the dense open subset defined in Lemma 4.1. Let $H \subset \mathbb{P}$ be a hyperplane defined by a closed point $t \in \mathbb{P}^\vee$. If $H \cap X \to X$ is C-transversal, then the composition

$$C \times_X (H \cap X) \subset T^*X \times_X (H \cap X) \to T^*(H \cap X) \tag{4.2.1}$$

is finite by [2, Lemma 1.2 (ii)]. This implies that $H \cap X \to X$ is properly C-transversal if and only if H meets $B_a = C_a \cap T^*_X X$ properly for every irreducible component C_a of C. If H_1 and H_2 are two such different hyperplanes, then the line L passing through H_1 and H_2 satisfies the conditions (3), (4) and (5). The condition that H meets $B_a = C_a \cap T^*_X X$ properly is an open condition. Hence, there is an open dense subset $U_0 \subset \mathbf{G}$ such that if $L \in U_0 \cap U$, then L satisfies the conditions (1)–(5). This proves the lemma in the case where k is algebraically closed.

For a general field k, let $U' \subset \mathbf{G}_{\bar{k}}$ be an open subset such that $L \in U'$ satisfies the conditions (1)-(4) for $X_{\bar{k}}$ and let $Z' = \mathbf{G}_{\bar{k}} \backslash U'$ be its complement. Let Z be the image of Z' by $\mathbf{G}_{\bar{k}} \to \mathbf{G}$ and let U be its complement $U = \mathbf{G} \backslash Z$. Then the extension $L_{\bar{k}}$ of a k-rational point $L \in U(k)$ satisfies the conditions (1)-(4).

For a morphism $f \colon X \to Y$ (resp. $g \colon Y \to X$), we note that if $f_{\bar{k}}$ (resp. $g_{\bar{k}}$) is $C_{\bar{k}}$-transversal, then f (resp. g) is also C-transversal. Let $L \subset \mathbb{P}^\vee$ be a line such that $L_{\bar{k}}$ satisfies the conditions (1)-(4) with respect to $C_{\bar{k}}$. Let u be an isolated characteristic point of p_L and put $v = p_L(u)$. Since there exists only one isolated

characteristic point in $X_L \otimes_k \overline{k(v)}$, the extension $k(u)/k(v)$ must be purely inseparable. Hence $L \in U(k)$ satisfies the conditions (1)-(4).

When k is an infinite field, there exists a k-rational point in U since the Grassmannian varieties are rational. This proves the lemma.

□

5. Characteristic cycle

We prove Theorem 1.1 in this section. First, we recall the definitions of singular support and characteristic cycle.

Definition 5.1. *Let k be a perfect field. Let X be a smooth scheme purely of dimension d over k and let $\mathcal{F}$ be a constructible complex of Λ-modules on X.*

(1) ([2, 1.3]) *The singular support $SS\mathcal{F}$ of $\mathcal{F}$ is the minimal conical closed subset of T^*X satisfying the following condition: Let (h, f) be a pair of morphisms $h\colon W \to X$ and $f\colon W \to Y$ between smooth schemes over k such that f is $h^\circ SS\mathcal{F}$-transversal. Then f is (universally) locally acyclic with respect to $h^*\mathcal{F}$.*

(2) ([14, Theorem 5.9]) *The characteristic cycle $CC\mathcal{F}$ of $\mathcal{F}$ is the unique d-cycle of T^*X supported on $SS\mathcal{F}$ satisfying the following condition: Let (j, f) be the pair of an étale morphism $j : U \to X$ and a morphism $f : U \to Y$ to a smooth curve Y over k. Let $u \in U$ be a closed point such that u is at most an isolated characteristic point of f with respect to $j^\circ SS\mathcal{F}$. Then we have*

$$-\operatorname{dimtot}\phi_u(j^*\mathcal{F}, f) = (j^*CC\mathcal{F}, df)_{T^*U,u}, \tag{5.1.1}$$

which is called the Milnor formula.

The existence of the singular support is proved by Beilinson [2, Theorem 1.3]. Since X is purely of dimension d, every irreducible component of $SS(\mathcal{F})$ is of dimension d by loc.cit. The existence of the characteristic cycle is proved by Saito [14, Theorem 5.9] and the coefficients of $CC\mathcal{F}$ are integers by [14, Theorem 5.18]. By [14, Proposition 7.6], the characteristic cycle is compatible with pull-back by properly $SS\mathcal{F}$-transversal morphism.

Lemma 5.2 ([14, Proposition 7.6])**.** *Let X be a smooth scheme purely of dimension d over a perfect field k and $\mathcal{F}$ a constructible complex of Λ-modules on X. Let W be a smooth scheme purely of dimension m over k. Let $h\colon W \to X$ be a properly $SS\mathcal{F}$-transversal morphism. Then we have*

$$CCh^*\mathcal{F} = h^!CC\mathcal{F}, \tag{5.2.1}$$

where $h^!CC\mathcal{F}$ is defined to be $(-1)^{m-d}h^*CC(\mathcal{F})$.

Definition 5.3 ([14, Definition 5.7])**.** *Let X be a smooth scheme purely of dimension d over a perfect field k and let $\mathcal{F}$ be a constructible complex of Λ-modules on X. We define the characteristic class of $\mathcal{F}$ by*

$$cc_X\mathcal{F} = (CC\mathcal{F}, T^*_X X)_{T^*X} \in CH_0(X). \tag{5.3.1}$$

If X is moreover projective, then $\chi(X_{\bar{k}}, \mathcal{F}) = \deg(cc_X\mathcal{F})$ by [14, Theorem 7.13].

The following identity (5.4.1) for $cc_X\mathcal{F}$ is key to the proof of our main Theorem 1.1.

Proposition 5.4. *Let X be a smooth scheme purely of dimension d over a perfect field k and $\mathcal{F}$ a constructible complex of Λ-modules on X. Let $f\colon X \to Y$ be a good fibration to a smooth connected curve Y over k with respect to $SS\mathcal{F}$ and let $u_1, \dots, u_m \in X$ be the isolated characteristic points of f with respect to $SS\mathcal{F}$. Let ω be a non-zero rational 1-form on Y which has neither poles nor zeros at $f(u_1), \dots, f(u_m)$ such that for all closed point $v \in Y$ with $\operatorname{ord}_v(\omega) \neq 0$, X_v is smooth and $i_v\colon X_v \to X$ is properly $SS\mathcal{F}$-transversal.*

Then we have an equality in $CH_0(X)$:

$$cc_X\mathcal{F} = -\sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathrm{dimtot}\phi_{u_i}(\mathcal{F}, f)\cdot[u_i] - \sum_{v\in|Y|} \mathrm{ord}_v(\omega)\cdot i_{v,*}(cc_{X_v}(\mathcal{F}|_{X_v})), \tag{5.4.1}$$

where $i_{v,*}\colon CH_0(X_v)\to CH_0(X)$ is the natural homomorphism.

Proof. We apply Lemma 3.4 for $C = CC\mathcal{F}$. For a closed point $v\in|Y|$, if $\mathrm{ord}_v(\omega)\neq 0$, then $i_v\colon X_v\to X$ is properly $SS\mathcal{F}$-transversal and is of codimension 1. Hence by Lemma 5.2 we have

$$cc_{X_v}(\mathcal{F}|_{X_v}) = (i_v^! CC\mathcal{F}, T^*_{X_v}X_v) = -(i_v^* CC\mathcal{F}, T^*_{X_v}X_v).$$

By the Milnor formula (5.1.1), $-\mathrm{dimtot}\phi_{u_i}(\mathcal{F}, f) = (CC\mathcal{F}, f^*\omega)_{T^*X,u_i}$. Now (5.4.1) follows from (3.4.1). □

Now, we prove our main theorem.

Theorem 5.5. *Let X be a smooth projective scheme purely of dimension d over a finite field k of characteristic p. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a constructible complex of Λ-modules and let $\mathcal{G}$ be a smooth sheaf of Λ-modules on X. Let $\det\mathcal{G}\colon CH_0(X)\to\Lambda^\times$ also denote the composition of the character $\pi_1(X)^{\mathrm{ab}}\to\Lambda^\times$ corresponding to the sheaf $\det\mathcal{G}$ and the reciprocity map $CH_0(X)\to\pi_1(X)^{\mathrm{ab}}$. Then we have*

$$\det\mathcal{G}(-cc_X\mathcal{F}) = \frac{\varepsilon(X, \mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{G})}{\varepsilon(X,\mathcal{F})^{\mathrm{rank}\mathcal{G}}}. \tag{5.5.1}$$

Proof. We prove the theorem by induction on the dimension $d = \dim X$. We denote by $\mathcal{G}_0$ the virtual sheaf $[\mathcal{G}] - \mathrm{rank}\mathcal{G}\cdot[\Lambda]\in K(X,\Lambda)$.

If $d = 0$, a constructible sheaf on X corresponds to a continuous representation of $\pi_1(X)$. Since the rank of $\mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{G}_0$ is equal to 0, we have $\det(-\mathrm{Frob}; \mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{G}_0) = \det(\mathrm{Frob}; \mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{G}_0)$. Since the characteristic class $cc_X\mathcal{F}$ of $\mathcal{F}$ equals to the 0-cycle $\mathrm{rank}\mathcal{F}\cdot[X]\in CH_0(X)$, we have

$$\varepsilon(X,\mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{G}_0) = \frac{\det(\mathrm{Frob};\mathcal{F})^{\mathrm{rank}\mathcal{G}}}{\det(\mathrm{Frob};\mathcal{G})^{\mathrm{rank}\mathcal{F}}\det(\mathrm{Frob};\mathcal{F})^{\mathrm{rank}\mathcal{G}}} = \det(\mathrm{Frob};\mathcal{G})^{-\mathrm{rank}\mathcal{F}} = \det\mathcal{G}(-cc_X\mathcal{F}).$$

Hence Theorem 5.5 is proved for $d = 0$.

Suppose that $d\geqslant 1$ and that X has a good fibration $f\colon X\to\mathbb{P}^1$ with respect to $C = SS\mathcal{F}$. Let $u_1,\cdots,u_m\in X$ be the isolated characteristic points of f with respect to C. Let Σ be the finite set consisting of the closed points $f(u_1),\cdots,f(u_m)$. Since the left and right hand sides of the formula 5.5.1 become r-th power after taking an extension of k of degree r, it is enough to show the theorem after taking two extensions of k whose degrees are coprime. Hence we may assume that there exist a coordinate t of $\mathbb{P}^1$ such that $\infty\notin\Sigma$ and $X_\infty\to X$ is properly C-transversal and we fix such a coordinate t. Then the rational 1-form $\omega = dt$ has neither zeros nor poles on Σ. Let K be the function field of $\mathbb{P}^1$. Let $dx = \otimes_{v\in|X|}dx_v$ be the Haar measure on $\mathbb{A}_K$ with values in Λ such that $\int_{O_{K_v}} dx = 1$ for every place v.

Since $\mathcal{G}$ is smooth and f is proper, the rank of $Rf_*(\mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{G}_0)$ is equal to 0 by [6, Corollaire 2.10]. Since $\mathrm{rank}(Rf_*(\mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{G}_0)) = 0$, the right hand side of (5.5.1), which equals $\varepsilon(\mathbb{P}^1, Rf_*(\mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{G}_0))$, is the product of local constants by Theorem 2.3, i.e.,

$$\varepsilon(\mathbb{P}^1, Rf_*(\mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{G}_0)) = \prod_{v\in|\mathbb{P}^1|}\varepsilon(Rf_*(\mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{G}_0)_{(v)}, (\psi_\omega)_v, dx_v). \tag{5.5.2}$$

Suppose $v\notin\Sigma$. Since f is C-transversal at the fiber of v, the morphism f is universally locally acyclic over v by Definition 5.1. Since f is proper, the virtual complex $Rf_*(\mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{G}_0)$ is smooth at v by [5, 2.4]. By Lemma 2.2 (2), we have

$$\varepsilon(Rf_*(\mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{G}_0)_{(v)}, (\psi_\omega)_v, dx_v) = \det(\mathrm{Frob}_v; Rf_*(\mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{G}_0)_{\bar v})^{\mathrm{ord}_v(\omega)} = \det(-\mathrm{Frob}_v; Rf_*(\mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{G}_0)_{\bar v})^{\mathrm{ord}_v(\omega)}$$

because $\mathrm{rank}(Rf_*(\mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{G}_0))=0$. By the proper base change theorem, we have

$$\det(-\mathrm{Frob}_v; Rf_*(\mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{G}_0)_{\bar v})^{\mathrm{ord}_v(\omega)} = \det(-\mathrm{Frob}_v; R\Gamma(X_{\bar v},\mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{G}_0))^{\mathrm{ord}_v(\omega)} = \varepsilon(X_v,(\mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{G}_0)|_{X_v})^{-\mathrm{ord}_v(\omega)}.$$

Hence, for $v\notin\Sigma$, we have

$$\varepsilon(Rf_*(\mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{G}_0)_{(v)},(\psi_\omega)_v,dx_v) = \det\mathcal{G}(\mathrm{ord}_v(\omega)cc(\mathcal{F}|_{X_v})) \tag{5.5.3}$$

by the induction hypothesis for X_v over $k(v)$.

Suppose $v\in\Sigma$. Since f has only one isolated characteristic point u in the fibre X_v, we have the following distinguished triangle

$$R\Gamma(X_{\bar v},\mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{G}_0)\to R\Gamma(X_{\bar\eta},\mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{G}_0)\to\phi_u(\mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{G}_0,f)\to .$$

Using Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2 (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon(Rf_*(\mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{G}_0)_{(v)},(\psi_\omega)_v,dx_v) &= \varepsilon_0(Rf_*(\mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{G}_0)_{\bar v},(\psi_\omega)_v,dx_v)^{-1}\varepsilon_0(Rf_*(\mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{G}_0)_{\bar\eta},(\psi_\omega)_v,dx_v)\\ &= \varepsilon_0(\phi_u(\mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{G}_0,f),(\psi_\omega)_v,dx_v)\end{aligned} \tag{5.5.4}$$

by the proper base change theorem.

Since $\mathcal{G}_0$ is smooth, f is a good fibration and u is $k(v)$-rational, we have $\phi_u(\mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{G}_0,f)=\phi_u(\mathcal{F},f)\otimes\mathcal{G}_0|_u$. Here we regard $\mathcal{G}_0|_u$ as a virtual unramified representation of $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}_v/K_v)\to\pi_1(\mathbb{P}^1_{(v)},\bar v)\cong\pi_1(v)\cong\pi_1(u)$, where K_v is the fractional field of $\mathbb{P}^1_{(v)}$. Since $\mathrm{ord}_v(\omega)=0$, we have

$$\varepsilon_0(\phi_u(\mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{G}_0,f),(\psi_\omega)_v,dx_v) = \det(\mathrm{Frob};\mathcal{G})^{\mathrm{dimtot}\phi_u(\mathcal{F},f)}$$

by Lemma 2.2 (1). Combined with formula (5.5.4), we proved that for $v\in\Sigma$

$$\varepsilon(Rf_*(\mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{G}_0)_{(v)},(\psi_\omega)_v,dx_v) = \det\mathcal{G}(\mathrm{dimtot}\phi_u(\mathcal{F},f)\cdot[u]). \tag{5.5.5}$$

Therefore by (5.5.2), (5.5.3) and (5.5.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon(X,\mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{G}_0) &= \prod_{v\in|\mathbb{P}^1|\setminus\Sigma}\det\mathcal{G}(\mathrm{ord}_v(\omega)\cdot cc(\mathcal{F}|_{X_v}))\times\prod_{i=1}^m\det\mathcal{G}(\mathrm{dimtot}\phi_{u_i}(\mathcal{F},f)\cdot[u_i])\\ &= \det\mathcal{G}(\sum_{v\in|\mathbb{P}^1|}\mathrm{ord}_v(\omega)\cdot cc(\mathcal{F}|_{X_v})+\sum_{i=1}^m\mathrm{dimtot}\phi_{u_i}(\mathcal{F},f)\cdot[u_i])\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, our theorem follows from Proposition 5.4 when X has a good pencil.

Let X be embedded in a projective space $\mathbb{P}$. Suppose there exists a line $L\subset\mathbb{P}^\vee$ such that the fibration $p_L\colon X_L\to L$ defined by L satisfies the conditions (1)–(5) in Lemma 4.2. We use the notation as in loc.cit. By

$$R^q\pi_{L,*}\Lambda=\begin{cases}\Lambda & q=0\\ \Lambda|_{A_L\cap X}(-1) & q=2\\ 0 & \text{otherwise}\end{cases}$$

and the projection formula, we have

$$R\Gamma(X_{L,\bar k},\pi_L^*(\mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{G}_0)) = R\Gamma(X_{\bar k},\mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{G}_0)+R\Gamma((X\cap A_L)_{\bar k},\mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{G}_0)(-1)[-2] \tag{5.5.6}$$

in $K(G_k,\Lambda)$. By induction hypothesis, $\varepsilon(X\cap A_L,\mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{G}_0(-1))=\det(\mathcal{G}|_{X\cap A_L})(-cc(\mathcal{F}|_{A_L\cap X}))$. Since X_L has a good fibration, we have $\varepsilon(X_L,\pi_L^*(\mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{G}_0))=\det(\pi_L^*\mathcal{G})(-cc(\pi_L^*\mathcal{F}))$. Hence by (5.5.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon(X,\mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{G}_0) &= \varepsilon(X_L,\pi_L^*\mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{G}_0)\cdot\varepsilon(X\cap A_L,\mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{G}_0(-1))^{-1}\\ &= \det\mathcal{G}(-\pi_{L,*}(cc\pi_L^*\mathcal{F})+i_*cc(\mathcal{F}|_{X\cap A_L})).\end{aligned}$$

Since i and π_L are properly $SS\mathcal{F}$-transversal and i is of codimension 2, we have

$$\pi_{L,*}(cc\pi_L^*\mathcal{F}) - i_*cc(\mathcal{F}|_{X\cap A_L}) = \pi_{L,*}(\pi_L^!CC\mathcal{F}, T^*_{X_L}X_L) - i_*(i^!CC\mathcal{F}, T^*_{X\cap A_L}X\cap A_L) \tag{5.5.7}$$

by Lemma 5.2. By Lemma 3.2, the right hand side of the formula (5.5.7) is equal to $cc_X\mathcal{F}$. Hence we have

$$\varepsilon(X, \mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{G}_0) = \det\mathcal{G}(-cc_X\mathcal{F}).$$

In general, we prove the formula (5.5.1) after extending the base field k by using Lemma 4.2. Let k_r be the extension of degree r over k and let X_r be the base change $X\otimes_k k_r$. Since the left and right hand sides of the formula (5.5.1) become r-th power for X_r, it is enough to show that there exist coprime integers r_1 and r_2 such that the formula (5.5.1) is true for X_{r_1} and X_{r_2}. Let $r\neq\ell$ be a prime number. The composition of all extensions of degree power of r over k is an infinite field. Hence, there exists a good pencil for X over an extension of k of degree power of r and the formula (5.5.1) is proved.

Hence, there exists a good pencil for X and the formula (5.5.1) is proved. □

As a corollary of Theorem 5.5, we prove the compatibility of characteristic classes with proper push-forward.

Corollary 5.6. *Let $f: X\to Y$ be a proper map between smooth projective connected schemes over a finite field k and let $\mathcal{F}$ be a constructible complex of Λ-modules on X. Then we have an equality in $CH_0(Y)$:*

$$f_*(cc_X\mathcal{F}) = cc_YRf_*\mathcal{F}. \tag{5.6.1}$$

Proof. First, we prove this corollary when $\Lambda=\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$. Let χ be a continuous character $\pi_1(Y)^{\mathrm{ab}}\to\Lambda^\times$ and χ_0 the virtual representation $\chi-[\Lambda]$. Then, by Theorem 5.5 and the projection formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\chi(-cc_YRf_*\mathcal{F}) &= \det(\mathrm{Frob}_k; R\Gamma(Y_{\bar{k}}, Rf_*\mathcal{F}\otimes\chi_0))\\ &= \det(\mathrm{Frob}_k; R\Gamma(X_{\bar{k}}, \mathcal{F}\otimes f^*\chi_0))\\ &= \chi(-f_*cc_X\mathcal{F}),\end{aligned}$$

where $cc_YRf_*\mathcal{F}$ and $f_*cc_X\mathcal{F}$ also denote their images under the reciprocity map $CH_0(Y)\to\pi_1(Y)^{\mathrm{ab}}$ respectively.

The multiplicative group $\Lambda^\times$ contains $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$. Since the equality $\chi(-cc_YRf_*\mathcal{F}) = \chi(-f_*(cc_X\mathcal{F}))$ holds for all characters of $\pi_1(Y)^{\mathrm{ab}}$, by the injectivity of the reciprocity map $CH_0(Y)\to\pi_1(Y)^{\mathrm{ab}}$ in [7, Theorem 1], we have $f_*(cc_X\mathcal{F}) = cc_YRf_*\mathcal{F}$. This finishes the proof in this case.

When Λ is a finite field, this is reduced to the above case in the following way. By devissage and additivity of the characteristic cycle [14, Lemma 4.13], it is reduced to the case $\mathcal{F}=j_!\mathcal{G}$ for a locally closed immersion $j: U\to X$ and a smooth sheaf $\mathcal{G}$ on U. By [17, Theorem 33], there exists a virtual representation in $K(\pi_1(U), \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ such that the reduction of the corresponding virtual sheaf $\mathcal{G}'$ is equal to $\mathcal{G}$. Since the direct image commutes with reduction modulo ℓ and the characteristic cycle of ℓ-adic sheaf is defined by its reduction modulo ℓ, we have $CC\mathcal{F} = CCj_!\mathcal{G}'$ and $CCRf_*\mathcal{F} = CCRf_*j_!\mathcal{G}'$. Hence it is reduced to the above case where $\Lambda=\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$. □

6. Swan class

6.1. Let X be a proper smooth and connected scheme over a perfect field k. Let $j: U\to X$ be an open dense sub-scheme of X and $\mathcal{F}\in D^b_c(U,\Lambda)$ a smooth complex of Λ-modules on U. There are two kinds of Swan classes of $\mathcal{F}$. In [8], Kato and T. Saito defined the Swan class $\mathrm{Sw}^{\mathrm{ks}}_X(\mathcal{F})\in \mathrm{CH}_0(X-U)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathbb{Q}$ by using logarithmic product and alteration. Later in [14, Definition 6.7.3], T. Saito defined another Swan class $\mathrm{Sw}^{\mathrm{cc}}_X(\mathcal{F})$ by using characteristic cycle

$$\mathrm{Sw}^{\mathrm{cc}}_X(\mathcal{F}) := (T^*_XX, CC(j_!\mathcal{F}) - \mathrm{rank}\mathcal{F}\cdot CC(j_!\Lambda))_{T^*X}\in \mathrm{CH}_0(X-U). \tag{6.1.1}$$

By [14, Lemma 6.9], $\mathrm{Sw}_X^{\mathrm{cc}}(\mathcal{F})$ equals the dimension 0-part of the total Swan class defined in [14, Definition 6.7.3]. Note that $\mathrm{Sw}_X^{\mathrm{cc}}(\mathcal{F})$ has integral coefficients since $CC(j_!\mathcal{F})$ has integral coefficients by [14, Theorem 5.18]. In [14, Conjecture 6.8.2], T. Saito conjectures that the two Swan classes are the same.

Conjecture 6.2 ([14, Conjecture 6.8.2]). *Let X be a proper smooth and connected scheme over a perfect field k. Let U be an open dense sub-scheme of X and $\mathcal{F} \in D_c^b(U, \Lambda)$ a smooth complex of Λ-modules on U. Then we have an equality in $CH_0(X - U)$:*

$$\mathrm{Sw}_X^{\mathrm{ks}}(\mathcal{F}) = -\mathrm{Sw}_X^{\mathrm{cc}}(\mathcal{F}). \tag{6.2.1}$$

In this section, we show in Theorem 6.6 that the above equality (6.2.1) is true in $CH_0(X)$ assuming the resolution of singularities and the following special case of proper push-forward of characteristic class (cf. [14, Section 6.2]).

Conjecture 6.3. *Let X be a fixed proper smooth and connected scheme over a perfect field k. For any smooth proper scheme Y over k, any $F \in D_c^b(Y, \Lambda)$, and any generically finite and surjective morphism $f\colon Y \to X$, we have*

$$cc_X(Rf_*\mathcal{F}) = f_* cc_Y(\mathcal{F}) \in CH_0(X). \tag{6.3.1}$$

In [15, Conjecture 1], T. Saito formulated a very general conjecture on the proper push-forward of characteristic cycle. The above Conjecture 6.3 can be deduced from his conjecture. By [15, Lemma 2], if f is finite on the support of $\mathcal{F}$, then (6.3.1) holds. If k is a finite field and if X is a smooth and proper surface, then (6.3.1) is a consequence of Corollary 5.6 since any proper smooth surface is projective.

6.4. Let us first recall the definition of $\mathrm{Sw}_X^{\mathrm{ks}}(\mathcal{F})$. In the following, we assume that Λ is a finite field. The case $\Lambda = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ can be reduced to the finite field case by using [8, Lemma 4.2.7].

Let X be a proper smooth and connected scheme of dimension d over a perfect field k of characteristic p. Let U be an open dense sub-scheme of X and $\mathcal{F} \in D_c^b(U, \Lambda)$ a smooth complex of Λ-modules on U. Let $f\colon V \to U$ be a finite étale Galois covering of Galois group G trivializing $\mathcal{F}$. Let M be the Λ-representation of G corresponding to $\mathcal{F}$. We put $CH_0(\overline{V}\backslash V) = \varprojlim_Y CH_0(Y\backslash V)$ where Y runs over compactifications of V and the transition maps are proper push-forwards. By [8, Lemma 3.2.1], we can construct a commutative diagram

(6.4.1)



of schemes over k satisfying the following properties:

(1) U is the complement of a Cartier divisor B of X' and the map $X' \to X$ is an isomorphism on U.
(2) Z is smooth over k and W is the complement of a divisor D with simple normal crossings. Let $\{D_i\}_{i\in I}$ be the irreducible components of D.
(3) The map $\bar{g}\colon Z \to Y$ is proper, surjective and generically finite.

(4) The squares are cartesian.

The log blow up $(Z\times Z)'\to Z\times Z$ is defined to be the blow up of $Z\times Z$ at $D_i\times D_i$ for all $i\in I$ (cf. [8, Definition 1.1.1]). For $i\in I$, let $(D_i\times Z)'$ be the proper transform of $D_i\times Z$ and $(Z\times D_i)'$ be that of $Z\times D_i$. We put $D^{(1)\prime}=\bigcup_{i\in I}(D_i\times Z)'$ and $D^{(2)\prime}=\bigcup_{i\in I}(Z\times D_i)'$. We define $(Z\times Z)^{\sim}=(Z\times Z)'\backslash\{D^{(1)\prime}\cup D^{(2)\prime}\}$, and call it the log self-product of Z with respect to D. By the universal property of blow up, the diagonal map $Z\to Z\times Z$ induce a map $Z=\Delta_Z^{\log}\to(Z\times Z)^{\sim}$, which is called the log diagonal map. Similarly, we can define the log self-product $(X'\times X')^{\sim}$ of X' with respect to B. We have a canonical map $(Z\times Z)^{\sim}\to(X'\times X')^{\sim}$. We put

$$(Z\times_{X'}Z)^{\sim}=(Z\times Z)^{\sim}\times_{(X'\times X')^{\sim}}\Delta_{X'}^{\log}.$$

For $\sigma\in G$, let $\Gamma_\sigma\subset V\times_U V$ be the graph of σ. We consider the Gysin map

$$CH_d(V\times_U V\backslash\Delta_V)=\bigoplus_{\sigma\in G\backslash\{1\}}\mathbb{Z}\cdot\Gamma_\sigma\xrightarrow{(g\times g)^!}CH_d(W\times_U W\backslash W\times_V W).$$

Now the Swan class $\mathrm{Sw}_X^{\mathrm{ks}}(\mathcal{F})\in \mathrm{CH}_0(X-U)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathbb{Q}$ is defined by (cf. [8, Definition 2.4.6])

$$\mathrm{Sw}_X^{\mathrm{ks}}(\mathcal{F})=\frac{1}{[W:U]}\bar{h}_*\left\{\sum_{\sigma\neq 1}\left(\dim_\Lambda M-\dim_\Lambda M^\sigma+\frac{\dim_\Lambda M^{\sigma^p}/M^\sigma}{p-1}\right)\cdot\left(\widetilde{\Gamma}_\sigma,\Delta_Z^{\log}\right)_{(Z\times Z)^{\sim}}\right\}. \tag{6.4.2}$$

where $\widetilde{\Gamma}_\sigma\in CH_d((Z\times_{X'}Z)\backslash W\times_V W)$ is a lifting of $(g\times g)^!\Gamma_\sigma$. If the order of σ is not a power of p, then $\left(\widetilde{\Gamma}_\sigma,\Delta_Z^{\log}\right)_{(Z\times Z)^{\sim}}=0$ by [8, Lemma 4.1.2.2].

Lemma 6.5. *Let X be a proper smooth and connected scheme over a perfect field k of characteristic p. Let U be an open dense sub-scheme of X. Consider a cartesian diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V & \xrightarrow{j'} & Y \\ {\scriptstyle f}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \bar{f}} \\ U & \xrightarrow{j} & X \end{array} \tag{6.5.1}$$

of smooth and connected schemes over k, where f is a finite étale morphism, j and j' are open immersions. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a smooth sheaf of Λ-modules on V. Assume Conjecture 6.3 is true for X, then we have the following equalities:

$$\mathrm{Sw}_X^{\mathrm{ks}}(f_*\mathcal{G})=\bar{f}_*\mathrm{Sw}_Y^{\mathrm{ks}}(\mathcal{G})+\mathrm{rank}\mathcal{G}\cdot\mathrm{Sw}_X^{\mathrm{ks}}(f_*\Lambda)\in CH_0(X\backslash U), \tag{6.5.2}$$

$$\mathrm{Sw}_X^{\mathrm{cc}}(f_*\mathcal{G})=\bar{f}_*\mathrm{Sw}_Y^{\mathrm{cc}}(\mathcal{G})+\mathrm{rank}\mathcal{G}\cdot\mathrm{Sw}_X^{\mathrm{cc}}(f_*\Lambda)\in CH_0(X). \tag{6.5.3}$$

If moreover $D=Y\backslash V$ (resp. $B=X\backslash U$) is a simple normal crossing divisor on X (resp. Y), then we have

$$\mathrm{Sw}_X^{\mathrm{ks}}(f_*\Lambda)=-\mathrm{Sw}_X^{\mathrm{cc}}(f_*\Lambda)=d_{V/U}^{\log}\in CH_0(X) \tag{6.5.4}$$

where $d_{V/U}^{\log}$ is the wild discriminant of V over U (cf. [8, Definition 4.3.1]).

Proof. (6.5.2) follows from [8, Corollary 4.3.4]. (6.5.3) follows from (6.3.1). By [8, Proposition 3.4.10], we have

$$\mathrm{Sw}_X^{\mathrm{ks}}(f_*\Lambda)=(-1)^{d-1}\bar{f}_*\left\{c_{d,D}^Y\left(\Omega^1_{Y/F}(\log D)-\bar{f}^*\Omega^1_{X/F}(\log B)\right)\cap[Y]\right\}. \tag{6.5.5}$$

Since $\mathrm{Sw}_X^{\mathrm{cc}}(f_*\Lambda)=cc_X(j_!f_*\Lambda)-[V:U]\cdot cc_X(j_!\Lambda)=cc_X(\bar{f}_*j'_!\Lambda)-[V:U]\cdot cc_X(j_!\Lambda)$, hence by (6.3.1) we have

$$\mathrm{Sw}_X^{\mathrm{cc}}(f_*\Lambda)=\bar{f}_*\left(cc_Y(j'_!\Lambda)-\bar{f}^*cc_X(j_!\Lambda)\right). \tag{6.5.6}$$

Since $cc_Y(j'_!\Lambda) = (-1)^d c_d(\Omega^1_{Y/F}(\log D)) \cap [Y]$ and $cc_X(j_!\Lambda) = (-1)^d c_d(\Omega^1_{X/F}(\log D)) \cap [X]$, by (6.5.5) and (6.5.6), we get that $\mathrm{Sw}^{\mathrm{ks}}_X(f_*\Lambda) = -\mathrm{Sw}^{\mathrm{cc}}_X(f_*\Lambda)$ as zero classes in $CH_0(X)$. □

Theorem 6.6. *Let X be a proper smooth and connected scheme over a perfect field k of characteristic p. Let U be an open dense sub-scheme of X and $\mathcal{F} \in D^b_c(U, \Lambda)$ a smooth complex of Λ-modules on U. Assume Conjecture 6.3 is true for X and assume the resolution of singularities, then we have an equality in $CH_0(X)$:*

$$\mathrm{Sw}^{\mathrm{ks}}_X(\mathcal{F}) = -\mathrm{Sw}^{\mathrm{cc}}_X(\mathcal{F}). \tag{6.6.1}$$

The following proof is due to T. Saito.

Proof. By Lemma 6.5 and resolution of singularities, we may assume that $B = X\backslash U$ is a simple normal crossing divisor and we can find a cartesian diagram (6.5.1) such that $\mathcal{F}$ is trivialized by V and $D = Y\backslash V$ is a simple normal crossing divisor on Y. By Brauer's theorem [17, Theorem 19], we may assume that $\mathcal{F} = h_*\mathcal{G}$ where $h\colon U' \to U$ is an intermediate covering of $V \to U$ such that the Galois group G of $V \to U'$ is a p-elementary group and $\mathcal{G}$ is a smooth sheaf of rank 1 on U'. By Lemma 6.5 and resolution of singularities again, we may assume $\mathcal{F}$ is of rank 1 such that the Galois group G of $V \to U$ is a p-elementary abelian group. Let $\chi \in H^1(U, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ be the character of G corresponding to $\mathcal{F}$. Replacing χ by its p-primary part, it does not change both sides of (6.6.1). Then $\chi \in H^1(U, \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})$ for some non-negative integer n. Hence we may assume that the character associated to $\mathcal{F}$ is of order p^n and G is a cyclic group of order p^n.

If $n = 0$, then $\mathcal{F} = \Lambda$ is the trivial sheaf. Hence $\mathrm{Sw}^{\mathrm{ks}}_X(\mathcal{F}) = -\mathrm{Sw}^{\mathrm{cc}}_X(\mathcal{F}) = 0$.

If $n = 1$, G is a cyclic group of order p. Then $f_*\Lambda = \bigoplus_\chi \mathcal{F}_\chi$ where χ runs over (1-dimensional) irreducible representations of G and $\mathcal{F}_\chi$ is the smooth sheaf on U corresponding to χ. We have

$$\mathrm{Sw}^{\mathrm{ks}}_X(f_*\Lambda) = \sum_\chi \mathrm{Sw}^{\mathrm{ks}}_X(\mathcal{F}_\chi) = \sum_{\chi\neq 1} \mathrm{Sw}^{\mathrm{ks}}_X(\mathcal{F}_\chi), \tag{6.6.2}$$

$$\mathrm{Sw}^{\mathrm{cc}}_X(f_*\Lambda) = \sum_\chi \mathrm{Sw}^{\mathrm{cc}}_X(\mathcal{F}_\chi) = \sum_{\chi\neq 1} \mathrm{Sw}^{\mathrm{cc}}_X(\mathcal{F}_\chi). \tag{6.6.3}$$

For an irreducible representation χ, let Λ_χ be the representation space of χ. Then for any two non-trivial (1-dimensional) irreducible representations χ and χ' of G, they have same order $|G| = p$. For any $\sigma \in G$, we have

$$\dim \Lambda^\sigma_\chi = \dim \Lambda^\sigma_{\chi'}. \tag{6.6.4}$$

Hence by [16, Definition 5.1], χ and χ' have same wild ramification. Thus by [16, Theorem 0.1], $\mathrm{Sw}^{cc}_X(\mathcal{F}_\chi) = \mathrm{Sw}^{cc}_X(\mathcal{F}_{\chi'})$. By (6.6.4) and (6.4.2), we also have $\mathrm{Sw}^{ks}_X(\mathcal{F}_\chi) = \mathrm{Sw}^{ks}_X(\mathcal{F}_{\chi'})$. Since $\mathcal{F}$ corresponds to a non-trivial irreducible representation of G, we have

$$(p-1)\mathrm{Sw}^{\mathrm{ks}}_X(\mathcal{F}) = \sum_{\chi\neq 1} \mathrm{Sw}^{\mathrm{ks}}_X(\mathcal{F}_\chi) = \mathrm{Sw}^{\mathrm{ks}}_X(f_*\Lambda) \tag{6.6.5}$$

$$\overset{(6.5.4)}{=} -\mathrm{Sw}^{\mathrm{cc}}_X(f_*\Lambda) = -\sum_{\chi\neq 1} \mathrm{Sw}^{\mathrm{cc}}_X(\mathcal{F}) = -(p-1)\mathrm{Sw}^{\mathrm{cc}}_X(\mathcal{F}). \tag{6.6.6}$$

We obtained $\mathrm{Sw}^{\mathrm{ks}}_X(\mathcal{F}) = -\mathrm{Sw}^{\mathrm{cc}}_X(\mathcal{F})$.

In general, let $H \subset G$ be the unique subgroup of order p. Let χ be the character of G associated to $\mathcal{F}$ and χ_H the restriction of χ to H. Then χ is of order p^n and χ_H is of order p. Let $V \xrightarrow{g} U' \xrightarrow{h} U$ be the etale coverings such that the Galois group of $V \xrightarrow{g} U'$ is H. Let $\mathcal{F}_{\chi_H}$ be the smooth sheaf on U' associated

to χ_H. By definition, $\mathcal{F}_{\chi_H} = h^*\mathcal{F}$. We have

$$h_*\mathcal{F}_{\chi_H} = h_*h^*\mathcal{F} \simeq \mathcal{F} \otimes h_*\Lambda \simeq \mathcal{F} \otimes \bigoplus_{\psi} \mathcal{F}_\psi \simeq \bigoplus_{\psi} (\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{F}_\psi) \tag{6.6.7}$$

where ψ runs over irreducible representations of the cyclic group G/H and $\mathcal{F}_\psi$ is the smooth sheaf on U associated to ψ. We also regard ψ as a character of G. Now the character $\chi\cdot\psi$ associated to $\mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{F}_\psi$ is of order p^n. By the same proof as in (6.6.4)–(6.6.6), we have $\mathrm{Sw}_X^{ks}(\mathcal{F}) = \mathrm{Sw}_X^{ks}(\mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{F}_\psi)$ and $\mathrm{Sw}_X^{cc}(\mathcal{F}) = \mathrm{Sw}_X^{cc}(\mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{F}_\psi)$. Thus by (6.6.7) and Lemma 6.5, we have

$$\bar{h}_*\mathrm{Sw}^{ks}(\mathcal{F}_{\chi_H}) + \mathrm{rank}\mathcal{F}_{\chi_H}\cdot \mathrm{Sw}_X^{ks}(h_*\Lambda) = \mathrm{Sw}_X^{ks}(h_*\mathcal{F}_{\chi_H}) = \sum_{\psi} \mathrm{Sw}_X^{ks}(\mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{F}_\psi) = p^{n-1}\mathrm{Sw}_X^{ks}(\mathcal{F}), \tag{6.6.8}$$

$$\bar{h}_*\mathrm{Sw}^{cc}(\mathcal{F}_{\chi_H}) + \mathrm{rank}\mathcal{F}_{\chi_H}\cdot \mathrm{Sw}_X^{cc}(h_*\Lambda) = \mathrm{Sw}_X^{cc}(h_*\mathcal{F}_{\chi_H}) = \sum_{\psi} \mathrm{Sw}_X^{cc}(\mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{F}_\psi) = p^{n-1}\mathrm{Sw}_X^{cc}(\mathcal{F}). \tag{6.6.9}$$

By the case $n = 1$, $\mathrm{Sw}^{ks}(\mathcal{F}_{\chi_H}) = -\mathrm{Sw}^{cc}(\mathcal{F}_{\chi_H})$. By Lemma 6.5, $\mathrm{Sw}_X^{ks}(h_*\Lambda) = -\mathrm{Sw}_X^{cc}(h_*\Lambda)$. Hence from (6.6.8) and (6.6.9), we get that $\mathrm{Sw}^{ks}(\mathcal{F}) = -\mathrm{Sw}^{cc}(\mathcal{F})$ in $CH_0(X)$. This finished the proof. □

If k is a finite field, then Conjecture 6.3 is true for proper smooth surface by Corollary 5.6. Thus we have the following result.

Corollary 6.7. *Let X be a proper smooth and connected surface over a finite field k. Let U be an open dense sub-scheme of X and $\mathcal{F} \in D_c^b(U,\Lambda)$ a smooth complex of Λ-modules on U. Then we have an equality in $CH_0(X)$:*

$$\mathrm{Sw}_X^{\mathrm{ks}}(\mathcal{F}) = -\mathrm{Sw}_X^{\mathrm{cc}}(\mathcal{F}). \tag{6.7.1}$$

References

[1] T. Abe, D. Patel, *On a localization formula of epsilon factors via microlocal geometry*, preprint.
[2] A. Beilinson, *Constructible sheaves are holonomic*, Selecta Mathematica, 22, Issue 4 (2016):1797-1819.
[3] A. Beilinson, *Topological E-factors*, Pure Appl. Math. Q., 3(1, part 3) (2007): 357-39.
[4] P. Deligne, *Les constantes des équations fonctionnelles des fonctions L* in Modular Functions of One Variable, II, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 349 Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1972.
[5] L. Illusie, *Appendice à Théorèmes de finitude en cohomologie ℓ-adique*, Cohomologie étale SGA $4\frac{1}{2}$, Springer Lecture Notes in Math. 569 (1977): 252-261.
[6] L. Illusie, *Théorie de Brauer et caractéristique d'Euler-Poincaré, d'après Deligne*, Caractéristique d'Euler-Poincaré, Exposé VIII, Astérisque 82-83 (1981): 161-172.
[7] K. Kato and S. Saito, *Unramified class field theory of arithmetical surfaces*, Annals of Mathematics, 118 (1983): 241-275.
[8] K. Kato and T. Saito, *Ramification theory for varieties over a perfect field*, Annals of Mathematics, 168 (2008): 33-96.
[9] G. Laumon, *Transformation de Fourier, constantes d'équations fonctionnelles et conjecture de Weil*, Publications Mathématiques de l'IHÉS 65 (1987): 131-210.
[10] D. Patel, *De Rham ε-factors*,Inventiones Mathematicae, Volume 190, Number 2, (2012): 299-355.
[11] D. Patel, *K-theory of algebraic microdifferential operators*, preprint.
[12] S. Saito, *Functional equations of L-functions of varieties over finite fields*, Journal of the Faculty of Science, the University of Tokyo. Sect. IA, Mathematics, Vol.31, No.2 (1984): 287-296.
[13] T. Saito, *ε-factor of a tamely ramified sheaf on a variety*, Inventiones Math. 113 (1993): 389-417.
[14] T. Saito, *The characteristic cycle and the singular support of a constructible sheaf*, Inventiones mathematicae, 207 (2017): 597-695.
[15] T. Saito, *On the proper push-forward of the characteristic cycle of a constructible sheaf*, arXiv:1607.03156.
[16] T. Saito and Y. Yatagawa, *Wild ramification determines the characteristic cycle*, accepted for publication at Annales Scientifiques de l'Ecole normale superieur.
[17] J. P. Serre, *Linear representations of finite groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 42, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1997.
[18] I. Vidal, *Formule du conducteur pour un caractère l-adique*, Compositio Mathematica, 145 (2009): 687-717.

[19] I. Vidal, *Formule de torsion pour le facteur epsilon d'un caractère sur une surface*, Manuscripta math., 130 (2009): 21-44.

Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, The University of Tokyo, 3-8-1 Komaba, Meguro-ku, Tokyo, 153-8914, Japan
E-mail address: umezaki@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp

Fakultät für Mathematik, Universität Regensburg, 93040 Regensburg, Germany
E-mail address: enlin.yang@mathematik.uni-regensburg.de, yangenlin0727@126.com

Fakultät für Mathematik, Universität Regensburg, 93040 Regensburg, Germany
E-mail address: yigeng.zhao@mathematik.uni-regensburg.de